

Forestry – Riparian Buffers

Alt. Proposal: Forestry Riparian Management

Forestry-Importance of Riparian Management

- _____ General
- _____ Large Woody Debris (LWD)
- _____ Sedimentation
- _____ Designated Uses (salmon, drinking water)

Forestry-Riparian Management Accomplishment

Forestry-Adequacy of Riparian Management for Protecting Small and Medium Fish-bearing and Non-Fish-bearing Streams

- _____ Existing Mngt Practices are Inadequate
- _____ Existing Mngt Practices are Adequate

Forestry-Greater Riparian Protection Needed

- _____ Recommended buffer widths
- _____ More LWD retention
- _____ Protection from blow-downs
- _____ Protection from pesticide application

Forestry-Impacts of Stricter Riparian Protection (to Forest Industry and Environment)

Forestry-Flexibility for Riparian Management Needed, Voluntary Approached Effective

Proposed Categories for grouping public comments

Programs – General (Ability of Oregon’s CNPCP to meet CZARA)

- Authority to Protect Waters of the State
- Federal and State agencies have responsibility to protect waters
- FPA Rules are outdated
- Oregon hasn’t demonstrated that it has controls to protect M&S “F” streams and “N” streams
- Protecting M&S Fish bearing streams and Type N streams
- Reliance on Voluntary Measures

Program Effectiveness – Problems are Still Occurring

- Research Results
- Scientific studies show that problems exist
- ESA Listings
- Lack of preventative measures

Program Effectiveness – Problems are not Occurring
Things are working
Oregon streams are the cleanest in the nation
Paired Watershed Study

Environmental
Habitat and Water Quality
Missing LWD
Blow-down impacts on small buffers
Active Riparian Management Needed
Climate change

Monitoring

Impacts to forestry operations

Forestry – Riparian Buffers

Alt. Proposal: Forestry Riparian Management

Forestry-Importance of Riparian Management

General

Large Woody Debris (LWD)

Sedimentation

Designated Uses (salmon, drinking water)

Comment [AC1]: These may not be stand-alone subheading but would be paragraphs under "Importance" heading

Forestry-Riparian Management Accomplishment

Forestry-Adequacy of Riparian Management for Protecting Small and Medium Fish-bearing and Non-Fish-bearing Streams

Existing Mngt Practices are Inadequate

Existing Mngt Practices are Adequate

Comment [AC2]: These would not be stand-alone subheadings but would be different sections under heading

Forestry-Greater Riparian Protection Needed

Recommended buffer widths

More LWD retention

Protection from blow-downs

Protection from pesticide application

Comment [AC3]: These would not be stand-alone subheadings but would be different sections under heading

Forestry-Impacts of Stricter Riparian Protection (to Forest Industry and Environment)

Forestry-Flexibility for Riparian Management Needed, Voluntary Approached Effective

Proposed Categories for grouping public comments

Programs – General (Ability of Oregon's CNPCP to meet CZARA)

Authority to Protect Waters of the State

Federal and State agencies have responsibility to protect waters

FPA Rules are outdated

Oregon hasn't demonstrated that it has controls to protect M&S "F" streams and "N" streams

Protecting M&S Fish bearing streams and Type N streams

Reliance on Voluntary Measures

Comment [AC4]: Didn't come across any comments that really spoke to these issues. Main points made could easily be captured under other headings.

Comment [AC5]: Similar to AC3. Comments that touch on this seem more relevant to other headings. Not enough "meat" to comments for this to be a stand alone section. Could be a pt. that is made under "adequacy of rip mngt"

Program Effectiveness – Problems are Still Occurring

Research Results

Scientific studies show that problems exist

ESA Listings

Lack of preventative measures

Comment [AC6]: Appears there would be a lot of overlap between these.

Comment [AC7]: A lot of overlap between these headings. All part of "adequacy" discussion.

Program Effectiveness – Problems are not Occurring

Things are working

Oregon streams are the cleanest in the nation

Paired Watershed Study

Comment [AC8]: These can all be captured under “adequacy” section.

Environmental

Habitat and Water Quality

Missing LWD

Blow-down impacts on small buffers

Active Riparian Management Needed

Climate change

Comment [AC9]: All captured under “Importance” comment except “active riparian mngt” which is captured under “Mngt Approaches”

Comment [AC10]: Didn’t come across any comments that specifically spoke to rip mngt and climate change. We have a general comment on climate change that can capture our response to any climate themed comments.

Monitoring

Impacts to forestry operations

Comment [AC11]: Already have a Forestry-monitoring section. Not enough Forestry-Riparian specific comments on monitoring/tracking to warrant additional summary comment focused on Forestry Riparian Monitoring